Use of Khaddar or Indian made clothes.

141. Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it has put into practice the recommendation of the Council regarding the use of Khadar or Indian made clothes by the Government;

(b) to what extent it has been so done?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) Yes wherever possible.

(b) As far as practicable.

Improvement of certain roads in Cranganur.

142. മിസ്റ്റർ എ. കെ. കുഞ്ഞുമൊയ്തീൻ.—താഴെ എഴുതുന്ന ചോദ്യങ്ങൾക്കു ഉത്തരം പറയുവാൻ ദയവുണ്ടാകുമോ.—

With reference to the answers to the questions Nos. 333 and 369 of the legislative session of the current year:—

(a) ഈ പണി നടത്തുന്നതിലേക്കു് ടെൻഡർ വിളിക്കുകയൊ കരാർ ഏല്പിക്കുകയൊ ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ടൊ;

(b) കരാർ എന്നു സംബന്ധിച്ചു എത്ര നാഴിക മെറ്റൽ ചെയ്യാനാണു്;

(c) മിഥുനം ഫ ൈ-ാം൯- കഴിഞ്ഞിട്ടും പണി ആരംഭിക്കാതിരിക്കുന്നതിനു കാരണമെന്തു്?

മിസ്റ്റർ വി. കെ. അരവിന്ദാക്ഷമേനോൻ.—(a) അഴീക്കോടു—കാരറോഡിനെ സംബന്ധിച്ചിടത്തോളം ഉണ്ടു. കാരാ കോതപറമ്പു റോഡു് കല്ലിട്ടതല്ലാതെ കായ്ംകൊടുങ്ങല്ലൂർ ഈയിടെ ചില പണികളം നടത്തേണ്ടതിനു ൫ 0,000 ക. അനുവദിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതു് അതിലോട്ടചെയ്യുമ്പോൾ തീർച്ചയാകുന്നതാണു്.

(b) അഴീക്കോടു—കാരറോഡിനുള്ള എസ്റ്റിമേറു സംഖ്യ ൫ ,൫ നു൫ ക. യും അതു് ആറു ഫർലോങ്ങു മെറ്റൽചെയ്യാനുമാണു്.

(c) മിഥുനം ൫ ഫ -ാം൯-യാണു പണി തുടങ്ങുവാൻ കല്പന കൊടുത്തു്.

Opening of a Registry Office at Kallettumkara.

143. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

I. (a) whether there is a proposal by the Superintendent of Registration to open a new Registry Office at Kallettumkara;

(b) if so, whether the question of opening a Registry Office at Kallettumkara was once enquired into and found not necessary by the Registration Superintendents Messrs. B. C. Chakko and U. Suppukutti Menon;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(c) was not an enquiry conducted by Mr. U. Suppukutti Menon last year on the subject; if so, what are the circumstances at present cropped up for the re-opening of the question even before the lapse of one year after the enquiry by the previous Superintendent was conducted;

(d) were not the enquiries conducted by Messrs. Chakko and Suppukutti Menon proper;

II. (a) was any conference conducted by the present Superintendent at Kallettumkara Church School on the subject; if so, why the conference was conducted at the Church School when there was a village office close by;

(b) was the conference properly represented;

(c) was it widely known to the public before it was conducted;

(d) what was the object of the conference;

(e) how many Registrars were present with their names and necessity for their presence at the conference;

III. (a) has not the transfer of the two villages of Kallur Vadakkummuri and Thekkummuri to the jurisdiction of the Mala Registrar considerably reduced the work in the Chalakkudi Registry Office; if so, where is the necessity for opening a Registry Office at Kallettumkara;

(b) how many petitions have been received by the Government and His Highness the Maharaja protesting against the opening of the office?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I. (a) Yes.

(b) Both Messrs. B. C. Chakko and U. Suppukutti Menon enquired into the question of opening the Registry Office. The former found that it was necessary. The latter reported that the necessity for opening a new Registry Office at Kallettumkara could be obviated by transferring to the jurisdiction of the Mala Registry Office, the villages of Kallur Vadakkummuri and Kallur Thekkummuri which were within the jurisdiction of the Chalakkudi Registry Office.

(c) Yes. The work has abnormally increased in the Registry Offices of Chalakkudi, Nellayi and Irinjalakkuda. Both in the interests of the registering public and of the work in the above Registry Offices, the question of the opening of the new Registry Office has to be reconsidered.

(d) Yes.

II. (a) There is neither sufficient accommodation nor furniture in the village office building.

(b) Yes.

(c) Yes.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(d) The object of the conference was to discuss the question of opening the new Registry Office with the Registrars of Nellayi, Irinjalakkuda, Mala, Chalakkudi and Vadakkumkara and the leading representatives of the people.

(e) Five Registrars were present; namely Messrs M. H. Krishna Ayyar, P. K. Cheriyan, V. K. Kunhitty Ezhuthassan, C. I. Tharu and K. H. Muhammad. The necessity for their presence at the conference was to throw light on the question of opening the new Registry Office.

III. (a) The transfer of the two villages of Kallur Vadakkummuri and Kallur Thekkummuri to the jurisdiction of Mala has not made any perceptible reduction in the Chalakkudi Registry Office as will be seen from the following figures up to the end of Mithunam 1105 and for the corresponding period of 1104:—

In Chalakkudi Registry Office.

No. of Registrations in 1104 up to the end of Mithunam.	No. of registrations in 1105 up to the end of Mithunam
3 894.	3,710.
(This is inclusive of 737 documents from Kallur Vadakkummuri and Kallur Thekkummuri).	(This is exclusive of the documents for the last 7 months from Kallur Vadakkummuri and Kallur Thekkummuri).

There is no perceptible reduction. On the other hand, the number of registrations is found to be on the increase. Hence there is necessity for considering the question of a new office at Kallettumkara.

(b) Two petitions, one addressed to the Diwan and the other to His Highness the Maharaja.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—With reference to the answer to sub-division (c), was any light thrown upon the subject by these Registras?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Yes.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—What was their opinion?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Their opinion was that a new Registry Office at Kallettumkara was desirable.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Was it the unanimous opinion of all the Registrars?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Yes.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—There were two petitions, one addressed to the Diwan and the other addressed to His Highness. Were these petitions enquired into?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Oh yes.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—What was the result of the enquiry?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—It is more or less as a result of those enquiries that the final report has been submitted by the Superintendent.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Were these petitions enquired into and did they come to any final conclusion after the enquiry into these petitions?

President.—You want to know whether the Government have come to a final decision?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Whoever arrived at the final conclusions? No final conclusion is arrived at. The Superintendent has submitted his report that this is necessary. That is the result of the enquiry.

Improvement of the Peishkar road in Irinjalakkuda.

144. Mr. A. L. Antony.—Will the Government be peleased to answer the following:—

(1) whether there was any petition from the public of Irinjalakkuda for widening and improving the Peishkar road at Irinjalakkuda;

(2) whether there was any order to include the work in the budget of 1105;

(3) if the answer is in the affirmative, what action was taken thereon and how it was disposed of; and why the work was not executed in 1105?

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—(1) No petition from the public of Irinjalakkuda praying for the widening and improvement of the Peishkar road in particular has been received. There was however a petition referring generally to the unsatisfactory condition of the lanes connecting the Irinjalakkuda main road and the Kakkathuruthi road and praying particularly for improving the lane from a point opposite the Anchal Office.

(2) No.

(3) The question does not arise.

Construction of a vakils' room for the Irinjalakkuda Munsiff's Court.

145. Mr. A. L. Antony.—Will the Government be pleased to answer the following:—

(1) whether there is any proposal for constructing a vakils' room in the Irinjalakkuda Munsiff's Court and what was the final order thereon;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly